

Serial No: 09/475,165

Attorney Docket No.: 1999-0300

REMARKS


The Office action of 06/12/2003 rejected claims 1-21, 23 and 24. Those claims have been canceled.

Claim 22 was objected to as depending from a rejected base claim. This claim has now been rewritten in independent form.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Fen-Chung Kung et al

By: 

(By:

Ronald D. Slusky
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 26,585
(732) 249-0900

Law Office of Ronald D. Slusky
P.O. Box 4378
Highland Park, New Jersey 08904-4378

Date: 09/08/2003